# Radiological protection of the environment

ICRP Symposium on the International System of Radiological Protection

October 24-26, 2011 – Bethesda, MD, USA

Jan Pentreath Chairman ICRP Committee 5



INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

## 2003 ICRP 91

- Discussed the issue of protection of the environment, including ethics and scope
- Moral duty to protect the environment, and a
- need to demonstrate that steps have been taken to do so
- National and international legislation to satisfy
- <u>But</u>: the need has not arisen from any new or specific concern

#### 2005 Committee 5 Protection of the environment

To ensure that the development and application of ICRP's approaches to environmental protection are:

- compatible with those for radiological protection of man; and
- compatible with those for protection of the environment from other potential hazards.

### Committee 5

P. Strand A. Ulanovsky C-M. Larsson J. Pentreath F. Frechignac



### 2007 ICRP 103 Environmental protection objectives

To prevent or reduce the frequency of deleterious radiation effects to a level where they would have a negligible impact on:

- the maintenance of biological diversity,
- the conservation of species, or
- the health and status of natural habitats, communities, and ecosystems.

Basic questions arising from the presence or expected presence of elevated radionuclides in the environment as a result of any exposure situation

- What are, or will be, the doses to the biota?
- What are, or will be, the effects, or risks of effects, for the biota as a result of such doses?
- What are, or will be, the consequences, if any?
- What would/could one do about it, if anything, and why?

**P**INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION







So, needed to examine the science base with regard to the relationships between.....

- .....exposures and dose,
- .....doses and effects, and
- .....effects and consequences

for different types of animals and plants that are typical of the major environments.

• Decided it was necessary to establish some 'points of reference'

### 2008 ICRP 108

### Concept and Use of Reference Animals and Plants



#### **Reference Animals and Plants (ICRP 108) 2008**

- Points of reference
- Sound scientific basis for decision making
- To provide conceptual and numerical 'models'
- To examine aspects of dosimetry at different stages in the life cycle of different types of biota
- To relate dosimetry to radiation effects, risks, and consequences for different types of biota
- Some data sets already available
- Be amenable to further controlled experimentation to bridge the inevitable data gaps
- But they are <u>not</u>, necessarily, the objects of protection

#### **Reference Animals and Plants (RAPs)**

- Deer
- Rat
- Bee
- Worm (and egg)
- **Pine tree**
- Grass

- Duck (and egg)
- Frog (egg, tadpole, adult)
- Trout (and egg)

- Flat fish (and egg)
- Crab (and egg and larvae)
- Brown seaweed

### **Environmental protection: science base**

- Simplified models to relate exposure to dose; but better models are being developed
- Effects data almost all at high dose rates
- Principal effects are mortality, morbidity, reduced reproductive success (fertility or fecundity), chromosomal damage, observed in individuals
- No LNT models, or DDRFs
- In fact, no underlying theories or models of radiation effects on biota in general - so difficult to extrapolate or interpolate amongst different types of organisms

Dose rate (mGy d <sup>-1</sup> )	<u>Reference Deer</u>	<u>Reference Flatfish</u>
100 - 1000	Reduction in lifespan due to various causes.	Some mortality expected in larvae and hatchlings
10 - 100	Increased morbidity. Possible reduced lifespan. Reduced reproductive success.	Reduced reproductive success
1 - 10	Potential for reduced reproductive success	Possible reduced reproductive success due to reduced fertility
0.1 - 1	Very low probability of various effects	No information
0.01 - 0.1	No observed effects.	No information
< 0.01	Natural background	Natural background



Dose rate (mGy d <sup>-1</sup> )	<u>Reference Deer</u>	<u>Reference Flatfish</u>
100 - 1000	Reduction in lifespan due to various causes.	Some mortality expected in larvae and hatchlings
10 - 100	Increased morbidity. Possible reduced lifespan. Reduced reproductive success.	Reduced reproductive success
1 - 10	Potential for reduced reproductive success	Possible reduced reproductive success due to reduced fertility
0.1 - 1	Very low probability of various effects	No information
0.01 - 0.1	No observed effects.	No information
< 0.01	Natural background	Natural background



#### Derived Consideration Reference Levels DCRL

A DCRL is a band of dose rate, within which there is some chance of deleterious effects, due to ionising radiation, occurring to individuals of that type of Reference Animal or Plant



#### **Additional reference values**

Set of Concentration Ratios for all 12 RAPs most evaluations currently being made are via models, rather than via measurements at actual sites (TG 73)



#### **ICRP 114**

#### Environmental protection: Transfer Parameters for Reference Animals and Plants

#### P Strand (Chair), N Beresford, J Brown, D Copplestone, J Godoy, L Jianguo, R Saxen, T Yankovich



#### **<u>Current activities</u>** Adjusting the existing overall ICRP framework

#### TG 82

How does environmental protection fit into the ICRP framework of:

- fundamental principles
- categories of exposure
- different exposure situations ?

IGRP INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON RADIOLOGICAL PROTECTION

#### **Current activities**

The practical application of RAPs and DCRLs to all exposure situations

# Guidance on 'Representative Organisms' and relationships to basic RAP data (C5)









### **Other current activities**

- What about RBE and radiation weighting factors? (TG 72)
- Improving dosimetric modelling (TG 74)



### So, after starting from scratch....

- Set of RAPs as a foundation for examining the science base
- An analysis of different types of radiation effects for those types of animals and plants
- Set of simple dose models for such types
- Transfer parameters for all 12 RAPs because most evaluations currently being made are via models (in press)
- Application to different exposure situations



- Need to improve the science base
- Integrate more clearly into overall ICRP framework
- Examine application to different exposure situations in more detail
- And improve our interface with:
  - the broader (environmental) scientific community;
  - the nuclear community and its regulators at all levels;
  - other environmental aspects of the nuclear fuel cycle and of other energy producing industries.

#### www.icrp.org

